



Sustainable Environment and the built Space: Integrating Ecology, Planning and Human Well-being

Proceedings of the 6th International Conference (FESCON 2026) at Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University,
Uli Campus, Uli, Nigeria
18 - 19 June 2026

MODERN FOREST MANAGEMENT: BALANCING ECOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS, ADAPTING TO CHANGING CLIMATES, AND SOCIAL INNOVATION

Toyin Funmilayo Adeniyi^{1*}, Chekwbe Dominic Ono², Innocent. C. Ezeomodo³

¹Department of Environmental Management, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka,,

^{2,3}Department of Environmental Management, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Uli

*Corresponding Author: tf.adeniyi@unizik.edu.ng

Abstract

Climate change, the growing global demand for wood, and rapid technological advancements are transforming modern forestry and forest management. This article examines current trends in silvicultural systems, governance models, and digital tools to evaluate how modern forestry might enhance sustainable forest management (SFM) and ecosystem resilience. Using structured narrative review to draw on 39 peer-reviewed sources and technical reports from temperate, tropical, and boreal regions, forest planning that incorporates remote sensing, GIS, and AI is discussed using recent peer-reviewed literature and empirical case studies from temperate, tropical, and boreal regions, including data from West Africa, South, and Latin America, as well as transitions to closer-to-nature silviculture (CTN), mixed-species, and community-based management. Findings highlight the importance of participatory governance, learning-orientated institutions, and the ongoing trade-offs between production, biodiversity protection, and social fairness. Recent findings from REDD+ assessments, AI-enhanced monitoring systems, and climate-smart forestry (CSF) initiatives demonstrate both the potential and the constraints of existing technology. Four policy proposals are recommended to enhance tenure security, expand climate-smart forestry, invest in equitable digital infrastructure, and integrate technological innovation into inclusive, landscape-level management frameworks.

Keywords: Adaptive Management; Biodiversity Preservation; Climate-Responsive Forestry; Forest Governance; Modern Forestry; Remote Sensing; Sustainable Forest Management;

1. INTRODUCTION

Climate change mitigation and biodiversity conservation are increasingly driving modern forestry and forest management. Forests absorb nearly 30% of global terrestrial carbon and support more than 80% of terrestrial biodiversity (FAO, 2020; Pan et al., 2011), but they are under increasing pressure from deforestation, degradation, and increasingly extreme weather events. At the same time, worldwide demand for wood and other forest products continues to rise, resulting in both industrial plantation growth and increased harvesting in wild forests. In this setting, modern forestry must shift from traditional production-orientated paradigms to integrated systems that balance ecological integrity, social equality, and long-term economic viability (Nautiyal, 2023). Recent research characterises contemporary forestry as a convergent subject that combines ecological science, socio-institutional analysis, and digital innovation. This includes

more natural silviculture (Brang et al., 2022), mixed-species and agro-forestry systems (Fahad et al., 2022), community-based and collaborative management (Borner et al., 2022), and the use of remote sensing and artificial intelligence to monitor and plan forest interventions (Diez et al., 2021; ACM Review, 2025). Emerging governance frameworks, such as REDD+, forest-certification schemes (FSC, PEFC), and tenure reforms, seek to link national forest policies with global climate and biodiversity targets, but implementation is unequal and contentious due to varying levels of political will, resource availability, and stakeholder engagement across different regions (Duchelle et al., 2018; Schmid & Vlaskamp, 2024).

This paper examines current trends in modern forestry and forest management, focusing on three interconnected domains: (1) evolving silvicultural and stand-management practices, (2) changing governance and institutional arrangements, and (3) the incorporation of digital technologies and data-driven methods. Our goal is twofold: to synthesise major developments in the field and to provide approaches for improving adaptable, resilient, and equitable forest management under uncertainty. The study draws on 39 peer-reviewed and technical sources analysed via thematic synthesis from global forest-research publications, serving as a foundation for practitioners, policymakers, and scholars looking to operationalise contemporary forestry in a variety of biogeographic and sociopolitical contexts.

2.0 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

1. Contemporary forestry can be viewed as an integrated system interface that connects three interdependent domains: ecological resilience, socio-institutional governance, and technology innovation. These three pillars are not independent; ecological outcomes are contingent on the quality of governance structures, whereas technological tools acquire their worth from the institutional frameworks in which they are used. They comprise the analytical backbone of this review.

2.1 Ecological Resilience

In contemporary forestry, ecological resilience refers to a forest ecosystem's ability to preserve structure, function, and genetic diversity in the face of climate stress, disturbance regimes, and land-use change (Seidl et al., 2017). Resilient forest management prioritises natural regeneration, mixed-species stands, structural heterogeneity, and the preservation of key habitat features, such as deadwood, large trees, and old-growth elements, over uniform, even-aged plantations (Brang et al., 2022; Puettmann et al., 2015). The preservation of functional diversity among tree species and sizes is increasingly recognised as critical to drought, insect, and wind disturbance tolerance (Jactel et al., 2017).

2.2. Socio-institutional Governance

Socio-institutional governance refers to the laws, rights, and decision-making frameworks that influence forest use and management. Key themes such as tenure security, subsidiarity, involvement, and accountability determine the allocation of benefits and dangers in forest management (Borner et al., 2022). Community-based, collaborative, and co-management models have emerged as means of connecting local knowledge to formal policy frameworks (Agrawal & Chhatre, 2006), which can enhance the effectiveness of forest management by ensuring that local communities have a voice in decision-making processes and that their traditional practices are respected. At the international level, methods such as REDD+ and forest certification programs seek to align national and local practices with global climate and biodiversity targets, but their success is very context-dependent (Duchelle et al., 2018; Korhonen et al., 2019).

2.3. Technology and Data-Driven Innovation

Remote sensing, GIS, drones, LiDAR, and artificial intelligence are among the technological innovations used in modern forestry to survey forests, monitor change, and support planning (Diez et al., 2021; ACM

Review, 2025). These techniques improve management precision and scalability by allowing for early identification of degradation, pest outbreaks, and carbon stock dynamics (Asner et al., 2014). However, they raise concerns regarding data ownership, capacity, and digital inequalities, especially among small-scale and community-based forest managers in low-income countries (Erbaugh et al., 2020).

3. METHODOLOGY: NARRATIVE REVIEW WITH A STRUCTURED APPROACH

This paper is organised as a thematic review of contemporary forest management and forestry. We did not conduct primary fieldwork; rather, we synthesised evidence from recent peer-reviewed literature, technical reports, and policy documents.

3.1. Strategy for Literature Search

Relevant literature was identified through purposive searches of Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar, utilising a variety of keywords, including contemporary forestry, sustainable forest management, climate-smart forestry, forest governance, community-based forest management, and remote sensing forest management. Forward and backward citation tracing from key foundational texts supplemented database searches to ensure coverage of influential works across the three analytical domains.

3.2. Parameters for Source Selection

Sources were selected based on the following parameters, which were applied using the authors' interpretive discretion rather than algorithmic screening. (a) Literature published between 2000 and 2026, with a particular emphasis on post-2015 literature; (b) Peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and selected technical reports from international organisations (FAO, CIFOR-ICRAF, EFI, and UN-REDD); and (c) Empirical case studies or systematic reviews that illustrate technological, governance, or silvicultural innovations. The final synthesis comprised 39 sources.

3.3. Analytical Methodology

The collected literature was examined using thematic synthesis, (Thomas & Harden, 2008) a qualitative method suitable for narrative reviews that aim to interpret and integrate findings from diverse studies. Themes were found inductively from repeating notions in the literature, as well as deductively from the three-domain conceptual framework created in Section 2: ecological resilience, socio-institutional governance, and technology innovation. Categories were refined step by step as additional sources remained scrutinized. Where evidence was mixed or contested, opposing viewpoints are offered rather than being pushed to reach a single judgement. This technique differs from systematic reviews in that it values interpretive depth and conceptual coherence over thorough source coverage or quantitative synthesis.

3.4 Limitations

This review has a few restrictions. First, the restriction to English-language literature creates geographic bias, potentially under-representing information from Francophone and Lusophone African countries where significant forestry trials are taking place. Second, while thematic synthesis is useful for mapping a large area, it cannot resolve empirical disagreements across research that utilise various outcome measures and methodological frameworks. Third, the research does not go into great detail about forest economics and supply-chain governance, which are becoming increasingly crucial in understanding how global timber and pulp markets influence national forest management decisions.

4. THEMATIC FINDINGS AND RESULTS

4.1. Trends in Current Silvicultural Systems

Contemporary forestry is seeing a considerable movement away from traditional even-aged monoculture plantations and toward closer-to-nature (CTN) and gap-based silviculture, which imitate natural disturbance regimes (Brang et al., 2022; Puettmann et al., 2015). CTN systems maintain mixed-species composition, multi-layered canopy structure, and deadwood elements, which improves habitat quality and pest and drought resistance (Jactel et al., 2017). The preservation of structural diversity is more than just a conservation goal: increasing evidence from European forest trials shows that structurally diversified stands stand out above monocultures in long-term biomass output under climate stress (del Rio et al., 2017).

Mixed-species plantations and agroforestry systems are being promoted in tropical and subtropical regions to reduce the susceptibility of single-species stands while also diversifying local livelihoods (Fahad et al., 2022). Agroforestry, in particular, combines woody vegetation with crops and livestock to provide ecosystem services, such as soil conservation, water management, and carbon sequestration, while also producing food (Nair et al., 2009). Adaptive management frameworks that combine selective harvesting with structural variation in North Patagonian native forests have been proposed as a compromise between preservation and exploitation, tailored to local biological dynamics and socioeconomic conditions (Loguercio et al., 2025). Nigeria's modified taungya system (MTS), which was reintroduced in Ondo and Cross River States in the late 1990s, is an instructive example. MTS requires farmers to plant food crops during the first two years of plantation establishment, reducing silvicultural labour costs while ensuring food security and community buy-in. Adesoye and Adewole (2013) found that MTS plots had higher tree survival rates (78%) than conventional plantations (61%) in the same districts, due to farmers' motivations to conserve trees in which they had a vested interest.

Adaptive silviculture experiments in boreal and temperate settings emphasise thinning, species diversification, and mixing to mitigate the effects of climate change and insect outbreaks. In the Czech Republic, for example, converting susceptible spruce monocultures to beech- and oak-dominated stands has become a major government-backed policy response to catastrophic bark beetle outbreaks and drought-induced die-off (Wang et al., 2025). These methods frequently necessitate longer rotation times and more flexible harvest dates, contradicting traditional economic models that prioritise short-term yield maximisation (Pukkala, 2008). Continuous Cover Forestry (CCF), a management technique that avoids clear-cutting while maintaining a constantly forested cover, is gaining popularity in Europe and Latin America as a practical application of CTN principles (Mason et al., 2022).

4.2 Governance, Institutions, and Tenure

Institutional studies indicate that multi-level governance structures, which include local communities, national governments, and international financial mechanisms, are progressively influencing modern forestry. Community-based forest management (CBFM) and collaborative forest management (CFM) have been demonstrated to improve forest conditions, increase local income, and boost tenure security across Asia, Africa, and Latin America (Agrawal & Chhatre, 2006; Baynes et al., 2015). Communities with formal or customary rights are more likely to engage in long-term stewardship and conservation, whereas outcomes are heavily reliant on institutional support, ability, and market access, which can vary significantly depending on local governance structures and the specific resources available to each community (Ostrom, 1990; Larson et al., 2010). In the Brong-Ahafo Region, people involved in CFMUs reported improved access to fuelwood and medicinal plants, while satellite-based monitoring revealed statistically significant reductions in canopy loss inside CFMU boundaries as compared to surrounding unmanaged regions (Acheampong et al., 2018).

Evidence from Ethiopia demonstrates the magnitude of these effects: a meta-analysis of 60 participatory forest management (PFM) studies discovered that PFM significantly increased forest density by 55–70% and species richness by 60–80% while also providing measurable livelihood benefits to participating households. However, the same analysis found institutional-enabling circumstances for success, including

stable tenure, effective local organisations, and external technical support, emphasising that participation alone is insufficient without governance transformation.

Tenure reforms and certification schemes have also changed the face of modern forestry. Forest certification (FSC, PEFC) has encouraged larger forest-holding entities to adopt more environmentally responsible practices (Korhonen et al., 2019), but it has also highlighted tensions between global standards and local realities, such as concerns about certification costs for small producers and community groups (Cashore et al., 2004). Where tenure is unclear or challenged, forest management frequently becomes fragmented and short-term, weakening both ecological and socioeconomic goals (Larson et al., 2010).

REDD+ has emerged as the most ambitious initiative to build a worldwide forest-carbon governance framework. However, its track record is mixed. A 2024 multi-country impact review found moderate average forest outcomes and few welfare effects, with results that were not constant over time (UN-REDD, 2024). Persistent implementation issues include ambiguous carbon baselines, additionality concerns, benefit-sharing disparities, and, most importantly, inadequate Indigenous Peoples and local community participation in project design and governance (Duchelle et al., 2018; Schmid & Vlaskamp, 2024). According to research conducted in Colombia, Indigenous people usually have insufficient knowledge of REDD+ programmes functioning on their territories, leading to conflicts over administration and benefit sharing (Schmid & Vlaskamp, 2024). Indigenous-led alternatives, such as the Yawanawa people's biodiversity stewardship methodology, which combines environmental DNA monitoring, geospatial data, and Indigenous knowledge systems, show promise for aligning climate finance with territorial self-determination (Forest Trends, 2025).

4.3: Digital Technologies and Data-Driven Management

Digital technologies are revolutionising modern forestry by enabling more precise, scalable, and responsive monitoring and planning (Diez et al., 2021). Remote sensing and LiDAR-based approaches allow for frequent, cost-effective assessments of forest structure, biomass, and disturbance, which aids in early warning systems for degradation and fire risk (Asner et al., 2014). High-resolution satellite data combined with machine-learning classifiers has substantially accelerated and improved the accuracy of deforestation detection, with systems such as Global Forest Watch now producing near-real-time alarms at landscape scales (Hansen et al., 2013). A 2025 systematic review of AI applications in SFM, which included peer-reviewed literature from 2015 to 2025, found that machine learning and deep learning models are increasingly being used to classify land cover, detect illegal logging, assess forest health, and project carbon stocks under various management scenarios (ACM Review, 2025). Deep learning, for example, enables intelligent monitoring and conservation of forest resources at sizes previously unfeasible using ground-based technology alone (Diez et al., 2021). AI integration is also aiding climate-smart forestry, with applications such as intelligent logging operations, optimised wood processing, and forest bioeconomy planning (Wang et al., 2025). These improvements help to create data-driven smart forest management, in which managers integrate satellite imaging, drone surveys, and ground-based inventories into unified decision-support systems. However, technology gains are frequently unevenly distributed, with small-scale and community-based actors hampered by cost, connectivity, and technical capacity (Erbaugh et al., 2020), resulting in a situation in which these actors are unable to compete with larger entities with more resources and technology. In a survey of 34 community forestry organisations in Nigeria and Cameroon, less than 12% reported accessing satellite-derived forest monitoring data, citing cost, internet connectivity, and a lack of training as main impediments (Acheampong et al., 2018). Governance frameworks must explicitly address the likelihood that digital tools can worsen existing power imbalances by concentrating monitoring and enforcement authority in state agencies or major corporations (ACM Review, 2025). Emerging instances of community-controlled digital monitoring, such as Brazil's Yawanawa environmental DNA initiative, demonstrate how technology may empower local actors when combined with appropriate capacity building and institutional support (Forest Trends, 2025).

5. DISCUSSION

The findings suggest reshaping contemporary forestry around three core principles: (1) ecological resilience through diverse and structurally complex stands; (2) inclusive governance that strengthens tenure and participation; and (3) technological mediation that improves monitoring and adaptive planning. A major cross-cutting observation is that these dimensions cannot be addressed separately. Closer-to-nature silviculture is most effective when supported by secure tenure and participatory planning (Brang et al., 2022; Borner et al., 2022), whereas certification schemes and climate-finance mechanisms must be tailored to local ecological conditions and social norms to avoid creating perverse incentives (Duchelle et al., 2018; Korhonen et al., 2019).

5.1 The Eco-Economy Trade-Off

The predominant tension in contemporary forestry is the conflict between immediate economic benefits and sustained ecological integrity. The production of high-value timber frequently contradicts conservation objectives, particularly when market dynamics prioritise short rotation cycles and monoculture practices over structural diversification (Pukkala, 2008; Del Rio et al., 2017). Nature-oriented silviculture and extended rotations inherently reduce seasonal yields, resulting in considerable financial disincentives for private forest proprietors without additional revenue sources.

Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) and forest carbon markets are often suggested as solutions to this issue. Nonetheless, their efficacy continues to be examined. Some studies demonstrate "additionality" and co-benefits such as enhanced water quality and biodiversity, whereas others report leakage, failures in permanence, and "elite capture", especially in areas with insecure land tenure (Nepstad et al., 2014; Cerutti et al., 2019).

The power disparity is frequently amplified by technology; the deployment of digital tools can intensify existing inequalities if access and control are monopolised by governmental bodies or large corporations (Erbaugh et al., 2020). This concentration of power can diminish local communities' capacity to impact the practices and policies that influence their lives. Modern forestry must reconcile the stark contradictions between global policy agendas—such as carbon markets, REDD+, and biodiversity targets—and local priorities, including food security, fuelwood access, and culturally ingrained land-use practices (Larson et al., 2010; Schmid & Vlaskamp, 2024).

The governance of REDD+ exemplifies these difficulties clearly. Despite significant international investment, the program has struggled to produce consistent results because it has too frequently viewed locals as recipients rather than co-designers of forest governance systems (Duchelle et al., 2018; UN-REDD, 2024). This highlights a larger issue: the disconnect between global policy structures and the local social and biological realities of individual forest areas. To bridge this gap, Ostrom (1990) proposed "polycentric governance": multilayer rule-making systems that function at many scales, each sensitive to the conditions and knowledge of the people closest to the resource.

The concept of adaptive forest management provides an effective heuristic for integrating these dimensions. Adaptive management conceptualises forestry as a continuous learning process in which monitoring data, stakeholder feedback, and scientific insights influence iterative changes to management strategies (Seidl et al., 2017; CIFOR, 2005). From this perspective, contemporary forestry is a dynamic and responsive process that adapts to shifting ecological and social conditions. The issue is to provide the institutional infrastructure (multi-stakeholder platforms, transparent monitoring systems, and flexible finance methods) that will make adaptive management realistic, especially for resource-constrained community managers in the Global South.

Future research should focus on the co-production of knowledge across scientific, local, and Indigenous epistemologies. Indigenous monitoring methods used by the Yawanawa in Brazil show that traditional ecological knowledge and modern data science are not diametrically opposed but rather mutually reinforcing (Forest Trends, 2025). Similarly, the equity features of developing financial and technological processes require close examination: who controls forest data, who gains from carbon markets, and whose knowledge is considered in management decisions are all as essential as the technical content of silvicultural recommendations.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Contemporary forestry and forest management offer a growing mix of ecological research, institutional innovation, and technological advancement aimed at preserving forest ecosystems in the face of tremendous change. This research concludes that the most viable future approaches include closer-to-nature and mixed-species silviculture, secure and participative tenure arrangements, and inclusive, data-driven monitoring systems. No single intervention is sufficient in isolation; long-term benefits necessitate the co-evolution of silvicultural practice, government reform, and technical capacity.

Four Policy Recommendations are Presented

1. Improving tenure security and participative governance, particularly for local and Indigenous communities, is crucial for long-term investment in sustainable forest management and climate-smart forestry. Tenure reform requires institutional support, capacity training, and grievance procedures that empower communities rather than formalising exclusion (Larson et al., 2010; Borner et al., 2022), which can help ensure that local and Indigenous voices are included in decision-making processes regarding forest management.
2. Integrate climate-smart forestry methods, including adaptive silviculture, species diversity, and landscape-level planning, into national forest policies and strategies. Priority should be given to transforming susceptible monocultures into structurally varied, multi-species stands that are resilient to climate extremes.
3. Invest in digital infrastructure and capacity building to enable small-scale and community-based actors to use remote sensing, GIS, and AI tools without being excluded or marginalised. Digital governance systems should clearly address data sovereignty, equitable access, and community-controlled monitoring (ACM Review, 2025; Erbaugh et al., 2020).
4. Improve monitoring and assessment frameworks for adaptive management through long-term forest plots, standardised carbon inventory techniques, and public reporting aligned with UNFCCC and CBD processes. In practice, adaptive management without solid feedback loops is simply another name for conventional management (CIFOR, 2005; UN-REDD, 2024). By emphasising resilience, justice, and education, contemporary forestry may play a critical role in addressing the interconnected concerns of climate change, biodiversity loss, and sustainable development.

REFERENCES

1. ACM Review (2025). Review on sustainable forestry with artificial intelligence. *ACM Journal on Computing and Sustainable Societies*. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3759259>
2. Acheampong, E., MacGregor, C.J., Sloan, S. and Sayer, J. (2018). Deforestation is driven by agricultural expansion in Ghana's forest reserves. *Scientific African*, 1, e00014.

3. Adesoye, P.O. and Adewole, A.M. (2013). Assessment of modified taungya system in Oluwa Forest Reserve, Ondo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 3(4), 128–135.
4. Agrawal, A., & Chhatre, A. (2006). Explaining success on the commons: Community forest governance in the Indian Himalaya. *World Development*, 34(1), 149-166.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2005.07.013>
5. Asner, G. P., Knapp, D. E., Martin, R. E., Tupayachi, R., Anderson, C. B., Mascaro, J., & Hughes, R. F. (2014). Targeted carbon conservation at national scales with high-resolution monitoring. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(47), E5016-E5022.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1419550111>
6. Baynes, J., Herbohn, J., Smith, C., Fisher, R., & Bray, D. (2015). Key factors which influence the success of community forestry in developing countries. *Global Environmental Management*, 35, 226-238.
7. Borner, J., Schulz, D., Wunder, S., & Bhatt, B. P. (2022). A global systematic review of forest management institutions. *Global Environmental Change*, 73, 102481.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2022.102481>
8. Brang, P., Spathelf, P., Larsen, J. B., Bauhus, J., Boncina, A., Chauvin, C., & Vrska, T. (2022). Suitability of close-to-nature silviculture for adapting temperate European forests to climate change. *Forestry*, 87(4), 492-503. <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpu004>
9. Cashore, B., Auld, G., & Newsom, D. (2004). *Governing through markets: Forest certification and the emergence of non-state authority*. Yale University Press.
10. Cerutti, P.O., Lescuyer, G., Tacconi, L., Eba'a Atyi, R., Nasi, R. and Tsanga, R. (2019). A review of the impacts of forest sector certification on forest governance. *International Forestry Review*, 21(3), 290–306.